A Decision Support System for Prostate Cancer Treatments

Jesse Knight, Ashton Bulloch, Anthony Brock

George Mason University



Introduction

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

• Who are we?

• Agenda

- Background

- Problem Statement
- Database
- Model
- User Interface
- Prototype
- Conclusion





Prostate Cancer Background

Prostate Treatment	 The prostate is a small, walnut-sized structure that
Decision Support System	makes up part of a man's reproductive system
	. Other then alvin concer prestate concer is the
Introduction	 Other than skin cancer, prostate cancer is the most common cancer in American men
Database	
Value Model	 About 1 in 6 men will be diagnosed with prostate
	cancer during his lifetime
User Interface	
Prototype	About 1 in 36 men will die of prostate cancer
Conclusion	





Prostate Cancer Diagnosis

Prostate Treatment	 Unfortunately prostate cancer does not have any
Decision Support System	early warning signs
Introduction	 Recommended that men aged 50+ should
	undergo a vearly digital rectal examination and
Database	blood testing for prostatic specific antigen (PSA)
	blood testing for prestatio specific antigen (1 0/1)
Value Model	
	• If diagnosed, the cancer is then staged (I-IV) to
User Interface	describe the cancer's spread
Prototype	
Conclusion	





Choosing a Treatment

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- Prostate cancer is unique in that it is a slow moving disease variety of treatment options
- There are many factors that are involved in a treatment decision
 - Patient Profile: stage of prostate cancer, health, etc
 - Patient Preferences on treatment criteria: recovery time, cost, etc.
- Patient's may receive misguided advice
 - Doctor: Biased towards their specialty
 - Friends & Family: Based on misconceptions and anecdotal experiences



Project Overview

Prostate Treatment

- Decision Support System
- **PROBLEM STATEMENT:** Prostate cancer patients want to be better informed when making decisions about treatment
- Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- **OBJECTIVE:** Build a Decision Support System (DSS) to help a prostate cancer patient make an informed decision
 - Elicits patient preferences on side effects and lifestyle impacts
 - Gathers patient data input (Gleason Score, PSA, Age)
 - Generates a patient profile to assist with a decision



Where To Start?



Database





Self-Reported Database

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- Over 1,000 lines of self-reported patient data
 - GS, PSA, Age, Stage,
 - Treatment,
 - Side Effects
- Received data from sponsor
 - Unknown source
- Data Cleanup
 - Handle misspellings
 - Remove ambiguous / nonsense inputs





Data Analysis





Data Grouping





Leakage

IV

Researched Data

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

Cost

- Depends on patient
 - Insurance coverage
 - Location
- Varies from source to source
- Decided to remove cost from DSS
 - Present in Pareto chart in the output
- Recovery Time
 - Time it takes patient to return to work
- Additional Side Effects
 - Raw data did not include all treatment side effects
 - Added physical illness, change in appearance, and infertility



Data Overview

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

Summary

- Reduced 1,046 to 803 data points after cleanup
- 7 Age Groups
- 8 Treatments
- 7 Side Effects

Data Tables

- # of data points with responses
- # of occurrences of side effect
- Average PSA before treatment
- Average PSA after treatment



Decision Model

Decision Support
System

Prostate Treatment

Introduction

Database

```
Value Model
```

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

Problem Breakdown

- Build a composite Value Architecture
 Additive Model
 - Constraining Factors



Problem Breakdown

Prostate Treatment			
Decision Support System	Actors (A)	Objects (O) aka stakes	Resources (S)
Introduction	Patient	Cancer Reduction	Medical Equipment
Database	Doctors	Minimize Side Effects	Medical Supplies
Value Model	Nurses	Minimize Cost	Doctor Skills
User Interface	Family Members	Minimize Time of Treatment	Funding, Monetary Sources
Prototype	Insurance Agents	Minimize Time of Recovery	
Conclusion			
			GEORGE

UNIVERSITY

Use Case





Value Architecture (Model)



Additive Model



Constraining Factors



Elicitation Objectives

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

- Database
- Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- Side Effect Preferences
 - Sexual Dysfunction
 - Leakage
 - Urinary Issues
 - Bowel Issues

- Physical Illness
- Infertility
- Change in Appearance

- Lifestyle Preferences
 - Prostate Cancer Recurrence
 - Recovery Time
 - Side Effects
- Assumption
 - The worst case of the above is better than having prostate cancer



Elicitation Method

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

- Introduction
- Database
- Value Model
- **User Interface**

Prototype

- Present worst case for all attributes
- Inquire which attribute to improve to the best case
- User ranks their preferences, ties allowed
- Rank Reciprocal used to weight preferences
- Graphically presented relative weights and gave user opportunity to change
- Simplest method for non-interactive elicitation



Output

Prostate Treatment

- Patient Summary
 - Age Group and Stage (Profile)
 - Most/Least Important Attribute
 - The number of data points in the database that matched their profile
- Pareto Frontier
 - Plotted Treatment Utility vs. Cost
- Treatment Ranking
 - Most/least cost effective treatment
 - Most/least preferable treatment based on user's most important lifestyle attribute
 - Rank of treatments based on overall utility



Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Output: Pareto Frontier

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

- Patient's usually don't know the specifics of their insurance coverage → can't determine how much treatments will cost
- Doctors only care about patient being able to cover the cost of the treatment





Prototype

Output: Treatment Ranking

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

• For use as a discussion tool between a patient and a doctor

Show a preferential ranking based on overall utility

Rank	Treatment	Description	
1	Alternative	Non-invasive ttreatment - high energy focused ultrasound beam is concetrated on the prostate gland to warm and terminate the prostate cancer.	
2	Brachytherapy	Insertion of radioactive seeds into the prostate gland.	
3	Radiation Therapy	Uses high levels of radiation to kill prostate cancer cells or keep them from growing and dividing.	
4	Active Surveillance / Watchful Waiting	You and your doctor closely monitor your prostate cancer for any changes. No medical treatment is provided.	



Prototype





















0

803

ટે

6,

60

Sensitivity Analysis



User Feedback

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introd	uction

Database

Value	Model

Prototype

Conclusion

Received feedback from 3 users

- Doctor never told the user their stage of prostate cancer
 - Calculate the user's stage based off their PSA level, Gleason Score, and TNM stage
- User did not know any information about the side effects (what strictures is)
 - An information tab was added to explain all medical terms/conditions to the user



User Feedback Cont

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- User did not feel the model was complete. Was aware of side effects not listed in the model
 - Researched additional side effects such as hair loss, weight gain/loss of muscle, infertility, hot flashes, nausea, and fatigue
- Quality of Adjusted Life Years (QALY), a proven medical elicitation method, was too difficult to score
 - Simplified elicitation method to ranking attributes and graphical analysis
- Pointed out broken links, missing drop boxes, unclear questions



Summary/ Recap

 We presented a model and prototype decision
support system for determination of a prostate
cancer treatment
 The system is an informative tool
 It incorporates a patient's preferences concerning
side effects and other factors into the resulting rank of treatments
 The resulting rank can then become a point of
conversation with the patient's doctor



Prostate Treatment	
Decision Support System	
Introduction	
Database	
Value Model	
User Interface	
Prototype	
Conclusion	

QUESTIONS?



Prostate Treatment	
Decision Support System	
Introduction	
Database	
Value Model	
User Interface	
Prototype	
Conclusion	

BACKUP



Acknowledgements

Prostate Treatment	• THANKS		
Decision Support System			
eystern			
Introduction			
Database			
Value Model			
User Interface			
Prototype			2 million and
Ποτοτγρα			
Conclusion			
		and the second	
		· · · ·	MGEORG
			DIASON

Lessons Learned

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

- Prostate cancer treatment is a complex decision
- Peer review helps spot unclear and confusing survey questions
- Ensure peers understand assumptions
- Excel powerful tool
- Rank method is easiest to understand for program user



Future Work

Prostate Treatment

•

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

Add more prostate cancer data to the database.

- Current database was reduced to 803 entries after the initial data cleanup
- Reduced further once the data was filtered on the patient's health profile.
- Improve the results by providing more representative measures for the treatment criteria (probability of recurrence, probability of side effect, etc).
- Additional information may provide data behind side effects that were not reported in the current database (e.g. fatigue, muscle loss, infertility).
- Testing/Validation process with a large set of patients.
 - more users with varying backgrounds will be important in determining the effectiveness of the model.
- The database will eventually need to be moved to Access or another program because of the data constraints in Excel



Requirements

Prostate Treatment	
Decision Support System	 Sample of Requirements for the prototype Stakeholders Requirements: The system shall provide an interface to view results The system shall provide a means of inputting customer preferences. The system shall provide an electronic file with customer's information. The system shall provide a means of navigating through the program. The system shall operate with window XP, Vista, and 7 The system shall operate in excel 2007 and 2010 The system shall be useable by December 1st The system shall store patient's information securely The system shall allow data to be expandable The system shall be ableto auto update statistics with future raw data The system shall provide tech support The system shall provide a means of debugging the system
Introduction	
Database	
Value Model	
User Interface	
Prototype	
Conclusion	



Prototype: Sequence

Prostate Treatment

Decision Support System

Introduction

Database

Value Model

User Interface

Prototype

Conclusion

•Sequence from one step to the next

•Lifelines are Patient, Interface, Database, Calculations

•Starts at Patient reading Welcome Screen

•Ends on interface displaying the results

•Remainder of diagrams can be viewed in report

